

The Evolution of Evolution:

The Complex Interplay of Genes, Environment, and Purpose

Sina Kahen (www.SinaKahen.com)

The theory of evolution is one of the most foundational concepts in biology, explaining how life on Earth has diversified over millions of years. The mainstream understanding of evolution, especially since the 20th century, has centred around genetics—the study of genes, inheritance, and the variation of traits across generations. In the simplest terms, genes are segments of DNA that carry instructions for building and maintaining living organisms. These instructions are passed from parents to offspring through reproduction, ensuring that traits such as eye colour, height, or even susceptibility to certain diseases can be inherited.

This understanding of inheritance largely stems from the work of Gregor Mendel, whose experiments with pea plants in the 19th century laid the foundation for the laws of genetic inheritance. Mendel discovered that certain traits are passed down in predictable ways, with some traits being dominant (visible in the offspring) and others recessive (hidden unless both parents carry the trait). In the 20th century, this concept of inheritance was further developed into what became known as the modern synthesis, a merger of Darwinian natural selection with Mendelian genetics. This gave rise to the gene-centric view of evolution, commonly referred to as Neo-Darwinism, which states that evolution is driven by random mutations in genes, with natural selection determining which mutations persist in future generations.

In this framework, DNA is seen as the blueprint for life, with genes acting as the primary drivers of all biological functions. Organisms are viewed as vessels for these genes, and their traits and behaviours are thought to be products of genetic variations. As such, the Neo-Darwinist view suggests that life can be fully explained by studying genes and how they mutate, replicate, and pass from one generation to the next.

While this theory has been immensely successful in explaining many aspects of evolution, it has also faced growing challenges in recent years. New discoveries in molecular biology, epigenetics, and systems biology suggest that this gene-centric view may be incomplete. One of the most prominent voices challenging Neo-Darwinism is Denis Noble, a pioneering figure in systems biology. His groundbreaking work in modelling biological systems, particularly in understanding the electrical rhythms of the heart, has led him to question the reductionist view of life, proposing instead a more holistic understanding of biology and evolution.

The Mainstream Understanding of Genetics and Inheritance

Before diving into Noble's critiques, it is helpful to understand how genetics and inheritance work within the traditional Neo-Darwinist framework.

At the core of this model is DNA, the molecule that carries genetic information in almost all living organisms. DNA is composed of four chemical bases—adenine (A), thymine (T), cytosine (C), and guanine (G)—which pair up to form a double helix structure. Sections of this DNA, known as genes, contain the instructions for building proteins, the molecules that carry out most of the functions in our cells. The sequence of bases in a gene determines the structure of the protein it codes for, and slight changes or mutations in this sequence can lead to variations in traits.

Inheritance, in this view, is the process by which genetic information is passed from parents to offspring. In humans and most animals, this occurs through the union of sperm and egg cells, each of which carries half of the parent's DNA. The combination of these genetic materials determines the traits of the offspring. According to Mendelian genetics, each parent contributes one of two possible versions (alleles) of a gene, and the combination of these alleles dictates whether a particular trait will be expressed. For instance, if both parents carry a dominant allele for brown eyes, their child will also have brown eyes.

Natural selection, as described by Darwin, then acts on these inherited traits. Beneficial mutations—those that help an organism survive and reproduce—tend to be passed down more frequently, while harmful mutations are gradually weeded out of the population. Over time, this process drives the evolution of species, leading to the diversity of life we see today.

This gene-centric view of evolution has been central to the Neo-Darwinist approach, emphasizing the importance of genetic mutations and natural selection. It posits that life can be understood by looking at genes and their effects, which are seen as the primary units of inheritance and selection. However, as Denis Noble and other modern biologists argue, this framework may be overly simplistic, failing to account for the complexity and adaptability of living systems.

Denis Noble's Critique: The Limitations of Neo-Darwinism

Denis Noble's challenge to Neo-Darwinism revolves around the idea that life cannot be fully understood by reducing it to genes and their interactions. His critique is rooted in both new scientific discoveries and philosophical considerations about how life works. One of Noble's key arguments is that the Neo-Darwinist view is too focused on genetic determinism—the belief that genes alone are responsible for all traits and behaviours in living organisms.

One of the cornerstones of Neo-Darwinism is the Weismann barrier, a concept proposed by August Weismann in the 19th century. Weismann suggested that there is a strict separation between the germ cells (sperm and eggs) and the somatic cells (the rest of the body's cells). This barrier, according to Weismann, prevents changes that happen in the body during an organism's lifetime from being passed on to offspring. This was an important distinction for Neo-Darwinists, as it supported the idea that inheritance was solely governed by the genes in germ cells, unaffected by the experiences or environmental conditions of the organism.

However, Noble argues that the Weismann barrier does not truly exist, or at least, it is not as impermeable as previously thought. He points to new evidence from epigenetics, a field of study that shows how environmental factors can affect gene expression and, in some cases, even alter the DNA that is passed on to future generations. For example, factors such as diet, stress, or toxins can influence how genes are expressed without changing the underlying DNA sequence. This means that an organism's environment can have a direct impact on its offspring, challenging the strict gene-centric view of inheritance.

In addition, Noble resurrects elements of Lamarckism, an evolutionary theory proposed by Jean-Baptiste Lamarck before Darwin. Lamarck suggested that organisms could pass on traits acquired during their lifetimes to their offspring—a giraffe, for example, might stretch its neck to reach higher leaves, and its offspring would inherit longer necks. While this idea was largely dismissed in favour of Darwin's theory of natural selection, Noble argues that modern science has vindicated some aspects of Lamarckian thought. Epigenetic changes, as well as the discovery of non-genetic inheritance mechanisms like RNA and proteins that can be passed down to future generations, suggest that an organism's experiences and environment do play a role in inheritance.

The Role of Emergence and Complexity in Evolution

Another important aspect of Noble's critique is his emphasis on emergence and complexity in biological systems. In contrast to the reductionist approach of Neo-Darwinism, which seeks to explain life by breaking it down into its simplest genetic components, Noble advocates for a systems biology approach. This approach views organisms as integrated wholes, where complex interactions between genes, proteins, cells, and environmental factors give rise to life processes that cannot be understood by studying individual parts in isolation.

A prime example of this complexity is Noble's own research on the electrical feedback loops that control heartbeats. In the 1960s, Noble developed one of the first computer models to simulate the electrical rhythms of the heart. His work showed that the heart's rhythm is not driven by a single genetic blueprint but emerges from the interactions of multiple components in the cell. Importantly, if one component is removed, others can compensate, allowing the heart to continue functioning. This biological robustness—the ability of organisms to maintain function even when certain genes or elements are missing—demonstrates that life cannot be fully understood by focusing only on genes.

Moreover, Noble argues that purpose plays a central role in evolution. While Neo-Darwinists may argue that purpose is an illusion or anthropomorphic projection, Noble believes that purpose is an inherent part of living systems. He draws on the work of Stuart Kauffman, who theorized that life is an autocatalytic process, meaning it is self-sustaining and self-organizing. According to this view, life does not emerge randomly from genetic mutations alone; instead, organisms actively shape their evolution by exploring possibilities and adapting to their environments. This purposeful behaviour is not limited to conscious decisions but is built into the very fabric of living systems.

Challenging Genetic Determinism

Noble's work also challenges the concept of genetic determinism—the idea that genes alone determine the traits, behaviours, and health of organisms. He cites research demonstrating that many diseases and traits cannot be fully explained by genetic variations alone. For instance, while scientists have identified certain genetic risk factors for diseases like cancer or heart disease, these polygenic risk scores often fail to accurately predict who will actually develop these conditions. This suggests that factors beyond genetics, such as environmental influences and epigenetic changes, play a crucial role in determining health and disease.

Noble advocates for a shift away from the gene-centric view of biology towards a more holistic understanding of life. He proposes a theory of biological relativity, which argues that causation in living systems is not confined to a single level (like genes) but is distributed across multiple levels of

organization. This means that the interactions between cells, tissues, and the environment can influence biological outcomes in ways that cannot be reduced to genetic information alone.

Paradigm Shifts

Denis Noble's critique of Neo-Darwinism marks a significant shift in our understanding of evolution and biology. By challenging the reductionist, gene-centric view of life, Noble highlights the complexity, adaptability, and purposiveness of living systems. His work not only brings Lamarckian ideas back into the scientific conversation but also calls for a more integrated approach to understanding life—one that considers the roles of epigenetics, systems biology, and environmental factors. As science continues to evolve, Noble's insights offer a valuable perspective on the nature of life and evolution, reminding us that living systems cannot be fully understood by looking at genes alone.